

1961

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — HOUSE

I would be devoid did I not once again acknowledge and express my appreciation for the advice and cooperation afforded me by Vice President Boggs, International Representative, Buoy, and Walter Mason, legislative representative of the AFL-CIO.

MAJ. GEN. EDWIN A. WALKER

(Mr. ROUSSELOT (at the request of Mr. WALLHAUSER) was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD.)

Mr. ROUSSELOT. Mr. Speaker, the following comments were sent me in a memorandum from one of my very fine constituents, Comdr. N. H. Black, U.S. Naval Reserve, retired, of Arcadia, Calif. I believe his comments regarding Maj. Gen. Edwin A. Walker are of sufficient interest to have them inserted in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD so others may be made aware of these opinions. I think they are well stated and represent a very important analysis of this particular situation. Under permission granted, I include Commander Black's comments at this point in my remarks:

IMPORTANT COMMENTS ON THE CASE OF MAJ. GEN. EDWIN A. WALKER

It is highly improbable that the following facts will be brought out in the case of Maj. Gen. Edwin A. Walker:

1. A masterly job of negative conditioning is being done on Americans by the Soviet through every medium of mass communications.

2. It is a military operation, devised by military scientists and carried out by Soviet agents.

3. A prime target is every member of the American Armed Forces, at home and abroad.

4. The Soviet method (which we learned in Korea) simply stated is this, "Separate an American from his everyday faith—in his God, his country, and his outfit, and his friends—and you've got him."

5. Red techniques in Korea were educational group psychotherapy—in reverse. It separates, tears down instead of builds up, faith and courage. (The export version turned 24 hours a day at all Americans they now call cybernetic warfare.)

6. Our men in Korea whose everyday faiths were strong inside them, didn't break, didn't get fooled, and lived. Those who were educated or separated from their everyday beliefs gave up. Many died for no physical reason.

7. President Eisenhower and Defense Secretary Thomas Gates ordered corrective training in moral and spiritual leadership as a military countermeasure to this new technique in warfare—"cybernetic" attack on the will to resist.

8. Optimum purpose in the Navy's leadership program, for example, is for each man to reexamine, restudy, and reaffirm the moral and spiritual values of his own life and those of his faith, his country, and his service in the Navy. In discussions of national values, he is familiarized with the rise of communism and the Soviet threat to the American way of life.

9. General Walker, like any military commander, is responsible for the combat readiness of the men in his command. Measures and background materials he uses to safeguard his men against the Soviet cybernetic softening process are matters of command responsibility and judgment.

10. It is both coincidental and unfortunate that some of the best background material on Communist subversion and Soviet cybernetic operations is from civilian sources. Most is researched and condensed from thousands of reports and documents

on file in Washington. The same facts and figures have been used continuously in hundreds of publications and films issued by scores of anticommunist organizations, as well as by the training materials departments of the armed services.

At first glance, General Walker's recall is like permitting the Squadunk Gazette to dictate dismissal of the Joint Chiefs of Staff over the editor's disagreement with training policy. The problem here is far more serious than stupidity. This is an example of how extremely sensitive our services have had to be to political pressures.

Political pressure from the left has more power than we realize, to hamstring and paralyze. This is true of business and industry, especially Government-regulated industry such as mass communications. It is doubly true of Government, at any level, and the armed services.

The technique of continuous pressure applied to pressure sensitive areas in the American complex has been termed "Soviet Principle No. 10." It is "the application of paralysis as a principle of Soviet global warfare." What it is and how it works is found in hearings before the U.S. Senate Internal Security Subcommittee, February 19-28, March 3-4, 1956. The immediate answer might well be a complete briefing of the President on the kind of sophisticated psychological and subversive war being waged against us. We have many experts eminently qualified to do this. The same people should be appointed as a task group to devise counter measures for all Americans. Training aids and materials now used by the Armed Forces and many patriotic groups is readily available.

The enemy is far more sensitive and vulnerable to this type of warfare than we are. To the criminal mind exposure is tantamount to failure and death. That is why hell hath no fury like a Soviet dupe under the white spotlight of truth.

THE JOHN BIRCH SOCIETY

(Mr. ROUSSELOT (at the request of Mr. WALLHAUSER) was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. ROUSSELOT. Mr. Speaker, it is refreshing to find a newspaper reporter who will look into the facts before writing about the John Birch Society. George Todt is such a reporter. Mr. Todt has discussed the society in six articles which have appeared in the Los Angeles Herald-Express, a leading newspaper in Los Angeles, Calif., two of which follow:

[From the Los Angeles Herald-Express, Feb. 10, 1961]

A VIEW OF THE NEWS—GEORGE TODT'S OPINION

Do more than 100 American patriotic societies possess the right to press for the impeachment of the Chief Justice of the United States by Congress in these modern times?

Many members of the press consider this such a ticklish question that they would prefer to see it swept under the rug.

Frankly, I think the question deserves to be aired. Why not put the cards on the table for everybody to see? Who is afraid of the truth? Let the chips fall where they may.

What are the facts in this case? They are, quite simply, that the John Birch Society—a rapidly mushrooming anti-Communist organization in the United States—has requested a large number of American patriotic societies to join with it

in whipping up popular support in Congress to impeach Earl Warren, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court.

There seems to be a lot of grassroots sympathy for this action and the movement is apparently growing by leaps and bounds.

QUESTIONS WISDOM

I do not believe that there has been any false inference that Mr. Warren is a Communist, which he most certainly is not. The John Birch Society merely claims that he has performed his official duties poorly and in such manner as to bring considerably less than terror to the hearts of the Reds. It questions the wisdom of certain key decisions.

According to Robert Welch, founder of the society, one of these was *Brown v. Board of Education*, because it overturned numerous previous decisions by the same court on nothing more substantial than "a set of psychological and sociological theories advanced by a Swedish Socialist named Gunnar Myrdal and a group of American Communist frontiers with whom Myrdal had been associated."

Here are three more decisions which have particularly incensed Welch and his supporters, as seen through his eyes:

"In the *Steve Nelson* case the Warren court wiped out the antisection laws of more than 40 States, and denied those States the right to protect themselves against treason, on the ground that the Federal Government had pre-empted the section field by passing the Smith Act (which act this same Supreme Court has done everything it could to vitiate).

"OF HIS ACTS

In the *Königsberg* case the Warren court canceled the right of any State to deny a license to practice law to a man merely because he would not say whether he was a Communist or not.

In the *Speiser* case the Warren court reversed the New Hampshire Supreme Court and held that the attorney general of New Hampshire was without authority to question a lecturer at the State university supported by the taxpayers of New Hampshire concerning reported subversive activities.

"There have been dozens more which we cannot even mention here."

Welch bases his argument for impeachment on Article III, Section I of the Constitution, which provides that Federal judges "shall hold their offices during good behavior."

WHEN ARE SOME

Since the Constitution specifies no other arrangement for limiting the tenure of Federal judges to the periods of their "good behavior," Welch believes it is to be considered obvious that impeachment is to be used for those who violate this requirement.

Now it appears that there is a considerable body of American citizens who express themselves as dissatisfied with the behavior of Mr. Warren as Chief Justice of the United States.

They want Congress to bring impeachment proceedings against him.

I am not arguing the merits of the pro or con.

But the question has been raised as to whether these people are within the legal rights to take this kind of action.

Actually, it seems to this writer that no officeholder in this democratic government of ours ought to be beyond the reach of the people should they become dissatisfied with his public action.

UNDENIABLE FACTS

I say this impartially with reference to any position and regardless of the political party, race, creed or color of the person doing it.

Those in public life who arouse the anger of the people must expect to settle the account with the people thereafter.